



Review

Gender and sex differences in prevalence of major depression in coronary artery disease patients: A meta-analysis

Shamila Shanmugasagaram^a, Kelly L. Russell^b, Adrienne H. Kovacs^{b,c}, Donna E. Stewart^{b,c}, Sherry L. Grace^{a,b,c,d,e,*}

^a York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^b University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^c University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^d Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

^e York Central Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 March 2012

Received in revised form 25 June 2012

Accepted 5 September 2012

Keywords:

Depression

Sex differences

Women

Men

Coronary artery disease

ABSTRACT

Background: Depression is related to increased morbidity and mortality in the general population and among patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). The prevalence of major depression is two-times higher in women than men in the general population, but whether this pattern holds true in the CAD population has not been established.

Objective: To test, through quantitative synthesis, whether women with CAD have a greater prevalence of major depression than men.

Method: MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PsycINFO electronic databases were searched. Authors of key articles were contacted to identify other relevant publications. The titles and abstracts were screened by the first author and the selected full-text articles were independently screened by the first and second authors based on pre-defined inclusion criteria. Major depression had to be diagnosed through structured clinical interviews during cardiac-related hospitalization or post-CAD hospitalization. Meta-analysis was undertaken using the Review Manager 5 software program. All pooled analyses were based on random-effects models.

Results: Eight eligible cohort and cross-sectional studies reporting data for 2072 participants (509 [24.6%] women) were included. Overall, major depression was observed in 95 (18.7%) women and 187 (12.0%) men. In the pooled analysis, prevalence of major depression was significantly greater in women compared to men (odds ratio = 1.77, 95% confidence interval = 1.21–2.58, $p < .01$). Heterogeneity was considered low to moderate ($I^2 = 36.0\%$).

Conclusion: Consistent with the general population, the prevalence of major depression is two-times greater in women than men with CAD. Women with CAD may warrant greater emphasis in efforts to identify and treat depression.

© 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Method	306
1.1. Eligibility criteria	306
1.2. Information sources	306
1.3. Study selection	306
1.4. Data extraction	306
1.5. Quality assessment	307
1.6. Statistical approach	307
2. Results	307
2.1. Study characteristics	307
2.2. Quality of evidence	308
2.3. Meta-analytic results	308

* Corresponding author at: York University, Bethune 368, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3, Canada. Tel.: +1 416 736 2100x22364; fax: +1 416 736 5774.
E-mail address: sgrace@yorku.ca (S.L. Grace).

3.	Discussion.....	308
3.1.	Limitations.....	310
3.2.	Conclusions.....	310
	Contributors.....	310
	Competing interest.....	310
	Funding.....	310
	Provenance and peer review.....	310
	Acknowledgements.....	310
	Appendix A. Supplementary data.....	310
	References.....	310

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality worldwide [1], and is a significant contributor to morbidity and health-related costs [2]. Recently, there has been growing interest in non-traditional cardiac risk factors such as psychosocial factors. Research shows that depression is related to a greater risk of coronary artery disease (CAD) onset, and poorer long-term prognosis among those with established CAD [3]. Specifically, depressive symptomatology confers a relative risk between 1.5 and 2.5 for future cardiac morbidity and mortality [4], and a dose–response relationship has been established [5].

In cardiac patients, the prevalence of major depression ranges around 15–20% [4], which is approximately three times higher than what is found in age-matched, community-based prevalence studies [6,7]. Further, the prevalence of elevated depression symptoms has been reported to be as high as 50% [5]. A more recent systematic review of eight studies including a total of 10,785 patients demonstrated that major depression was identified in 19.8% of acute myocardial infarction patients [8].

Beginning at puberty and persisting through adult life, the prevalence and incidence of depressive disorders are higher in females than in males [9]. Specifically, depression is two times greater in women compared to men [10]. Biological factors such as hormones, and psychosocial factors such as role overload have been postulated to explain this gender difference [11]. Although it has been suggested that sex differences in the prevalence of major depression among cardiac patients exist [12,13], to date, no systematic review or meta-analysis has examined this question. The higher burden of CVD- and CAD-related mortality among women [14], the greater prevalence of depression among women in the general population, and guidelines calling for depression screening in coronary heart disease patients [15], from which women in particular may benefit, emphasize the need to systematically assess the presence or absence of a gender gap in depression. The objective of this study was to examine whether women with CAD experience greater prevalence of major depression than men with CAD, through a systematic review and meta-analysis of all observational studies that have examined the prevalence of major depression among CAD patients by sex.

1. Method

1.1. Eligibility criteria

Types of studies. Observational studies presenting original, primary data on the prevalence of major depression in CAD, analyzed by sex, were considered. The exclusion criteria were: (i) meta-analyses, systematic reviews, case-series, case reports, published letters, comments, editorials, non-peer reviewed publications (e.g., dissertations) and (ii) studies published in a language other than English. No year of publication restriction was imposed. In the case of multiple publications of the same cohort, the most recent article that best addressed the research question was chosen.

Types of participants. Studies that assessed depression by sex in adults over the age of 18 with CAD were considered. Participants must have had a history of hospitalization for reasons related to CAD including the following: myocardial infarction, cardiac revascularization (coronary artery bypass graft surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention), or those with unstable angina.

Types of outcome measures. Major depression had to be diagnosed through structured clinical interviews during cardiac-related hospitalization or post-CAD hospitalization. Diagnoses of major depressive disorder had to be consistent with diagnostic criteria presented in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV TR) criteria for major depression [16]. In addition, depression prevalence had to be stratified and presented by sex.

1.2. Information sources

Studies were identified by searching electronic databases, scanning reference lists of articles, and consulting experts in the field. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness, MEDLINE, ISI Web of Science, Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE), PsycINFO, and Google Scholar were searched for prior systematic reviews and meta-analysis. In addition, reference lists of chapters in the latest edition of the Canadian Guidelines for Cardiac Rehabilitation and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention [17] were examined for reviews on sex differences in prevalence of major depression in CAD patients. MEDLINE (1950–present), EMBASE (1980–present), and PsycINFO (1806–present) electronic databases were searched for primary articles. The last search was performed on March 12, 2010. Authors of key articles were contacted to identify other relevant publications. RefWorks [18] was used to store the citations and a Microsoft Excel 2003 database was used to record the process. All database searches were conducted with the assistance of information specialists at the University Health Network Library. The search strategies were reviewed by SS, SLG and KLR. The search strategy for MEDLINE is presented in Appendix A.

1.3. Study selection

Duplicate records from different databases were excluded. Using a conservative threshold for exclusion, the retrieved records were independently screened by title and abstract (SS). Any ambiguity in titles and abstracts led to the retrieval of the full-text article. The selected full-text articles were independently assessed for eligibility using a standardized form (SS and KLR), and differences were resolved by discussion with the senior author (SLG).

1.4. Data extraction

A data extraction form was developed, pilot-tested on two randomly selected included studies, and revised accordingly. The first author (SS) extracted data from studies that met inclusion criteria,

while the second author (KLR) independently verified the material. Disagreements were reconciled through consensus in face-to-face meetings. If no agreement could be reached, consultation with the senior author (SLG) was undertaken.

The information extracted from each included study included sociodemographic variables (e.g., age, education level, family income), CAD diagnosis, method of CAD diagnosis, time elapsed between CAD diagnosis and diagnosis of depression, heart disease risk factors, cardiac medication use, interview used to evaluate major depression, and prevalence of major depression.

1.5. Quality assessment

To determine the validity of eligible studies, a pair of reviewers (SS and KLR) working independently determined with adequate reliability the methodological quality of the included studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale [19]. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale is a nine-point scale that allocates points on the basis of the process of selection of the cohorts (0–4 points), of the comparability of the cohorts (0–2 points), and of the identification of the exposure and of the outcomes of study participants (0–3 points). In addition, the overall quality of evidence was assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE [20]) criteria. A summary of findings and evidence profile table were generated through the software GRADEpro [21].

1.6. Statistical approach

Meta-analysis was performed using the software Review Manager 5 [22]. The meta-analysis was performed using a random-effects model to compute odds ratios. Heterogeneity was tested

with the Breslow–Day test and the method proposed by Higgins [23] was used to measure inconsistency (i.e., the percentage of total variation across studies due to heterogeneity). For each study, we plotted the effect by the inverse of its standard error.

2. Results

Fig. 1 presents the flow diagram of study selection. A total of eight studies were identified for inclusion in the review. The search for previous reviews on this topic resulted in identification of one narrative review [13].

2.1. Study characteristics

Table 1 displays the characteristics of the included studies. Three studies applied the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders (SCID [24]) to assess major depression, whereas the four other studies used one of the following structured clinical interview schedules: Diagnostic Interview Schedule, Modified version of the US National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview, and Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule-IV. One study [25] used the interviewer-administered Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression [26]. A decision was made to include the article as it was published in 1997, before the validation of the Depression Interview and Structured Hamilton (DISH) [27].

All studies were observational in design. The studies by Carney et al. [28], Lesperance et al. [42], Swardfager et al. [45], and Todaro et al. [46] were cross-sectional in design, whereas the other studies were longitudinal. The included studies observed 2072 participants in total, of which 509 (24.6%) were female. The studies




Fig. 1. Flow diagram of study selection.

Table 1
Characteristics of included studies.

Authors, country, year of publication, reference	Sample (size, CAD, setting, mean age $\pm$ SD/age range)	Clinical interview for assessment of major depression	Study design (time period)	Women		Men		Quality
				Number	Major depression (%)	Number	Major depression (%)	
Carney et al., United States, 1987 [28]	50, CAD, hospital, age of depressed patients (54.0 $\pm$ 10.0), age of not depressed patients (58.0 $\pm$ 7.0)	Diagnostic Interview Schedule	Cross-sectional	14	4 (29%)	36	5 (14%)	3
Connerney et al., United States, 2001 [41]	309, CABG, hospital, 63.1 $\pm$ 10.2	Modified version of the US National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule	Longitudinal (follow-up at 1 year)	102	18 (18%)	207	45 (22%)	3
Lesperance et al., Canada, 2004 [42]	481, ACS, hospital, 60.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (age range = 26–90)	Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders	Cross-sectional	91	12 (13%)	390	23 (6%)	3
Mitchell et al., Canada, 2005 [43]	115, CABG, hospitals, 63.3 $\pm$ 10.2	Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview	Longitudinal ($\leq$ 28 days pre-CABG, and follow-up between 6 and 12 weeks post-CABG)	55	13 (25%)	60	6 (10%)	5
Pedersen et al., Netherlands, 2008 [44]	710, PCI, hospitals, age range (35–68 years)	Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders	Longitudinal (baseline, and follow-up at 6 and 18 months)	160	28 (18%)	550	73 (13%)	3
Sullivan et al., United States, 1997 [25]	198, CAD, Health Maintenance Organizations, 62.6 $\pm$ 8.9	Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (interviewer-administered scale)	Longitudinal (baseline and follow-up at 1 year)	34	8 (24%)	164	17 (10%)	3
Swardfager et al., Canada, 2009 [45]	99, CAD, cardiac rehabilitation program, 63.8 $\pm$ 11.6	Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders	Cross-sectional	18	5 (28%)	81	12 (15%)	2
Todaro et al., United States, 2005 [46]	110, CAD, cardiac rehabilitation program, 60.1 $\pm$ 13.1	Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule-IV	Cross-sectional	35	7 (20%)	75	6 (8%)	3

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CAD, coronary artery disease; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft.

were conducted in the United States, Canada, and the Netherlands. No authors reported a source of study funding.

2.2. Quality of evidence

The methodological quality of each study as assessed based on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for cohort studies [19] is shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the summary of findings and evidence profile of the included studies. Using the GRADE criteria [20], the overall quality of evidence was rated to be “low.” The primary reason for the low rating was the lack of adjustment for potential confounding variables.

2.3. Meta-analytic results

Fig. 2 shows the prevalence of major depression by sex for each included study, as well as the effect size and confidence interval. In the pooled analysis, prevalence of major depression was significantly greater in women compared to men with CAD (odds ratio = 1.77, 95% confidence interval = 1.21–2.58, $p < .01$). Heterogeneity was considered low to moderate ($I^2 = 36.0\%$). Fig. 3 shows the funnel plot of sex differences in prevalence of major depression in CAD patients. The shape of the funnel plot suggested possibility of publication bias.

Two sensitivity analyses were performed by: (1) type of interview to assess depression and (2) sample size. For the former sensitivity analysis which examined the three studies that used the SCID [24], the prevalence of major depression was significantly different between women and men (odds ratio = 1.68, 95%

confidence interval = 1.15–2.46, $p < .05$). For the latter, sensitivity analysis excluding Carney et al.’s study [28] (sample size less than five for women), the prevalence of major depression was significantly greater in women compared to men (odds ratio = 1.75, 95% confidence interval = 1.17–2.63, $p < .01$).

3. Discussion

It has been demonstrated that comorbid depression is related to significantly poorer prognosis after coronary events [12,29,30], and that women in the general population have significantly greater




Fig. 2. Forest plot of sex differences in prevalence of major depression in CAD patients.

Table 2
Summary of findings and evidence profile of included studies.

Quality assessment			Summary of findings				Importance	
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Effect	Quality
			Females versus males		Control		Relative (95% CI)	Absolute
8	Observational studies	Serious ^a	Serious ^b	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	OR 1.77 (1.21–2.58)	74 more per 1000 (from 22 more to 140 more)
								Low
								Critical

^a Confounding variables were not adjusted.

^b $I^2 = 36.0\%$.




Fig. 3. Funnel plot of sex differences in prevalence of major depression in CAD patients.

burden of depression than men. This is the first meta-analysis to examine whether a sex difference exists in the prevalence of major depression in adults with CAD. In 1563 men and 509 women with CAD, results showed that women with CAD have approximately twice the prevalence of major depression as men. This is consistent in magnitude to the sex difference observed in the general population [10].

The overall prevalence of comorbid major depression in men was 12.0% and in women was 18.7%. The overall depression rate in this study was approximately 14.3%, which is lower than the overall 19.8% prevalence reported in the systematic review of acute myocardial infarction patients by Thombs et al. [8,31]. This discrepancy may be explained by the broader range of cardiac diagnosis included herein. Although no systematic review or meta-analysis has been published on sex differences to date, the findings herein are consistent with a previous narrative review [12,13] and the burden reported in other chronic illnesses. For instance, the burden of major depression in stroke is estimated to be somewhat higher at 33% [32], but in this population the burden is similarly significantly higher among women than men [33]. In contrast, a study examining gender disparity in depression rates in patients with advanced cancer reported no significant gender difference [34]. The authors concluded that men and women may be equally likely to develop depression under highly stressful circumstances.

The multifactorial factors that may explain the greater prevalence of major depression in women than men in non-cardiac samples have been reviewed elsewhere [33]. However, in the cardiac population, other explanatory factors may include physiological changes related to the menopausal transition in women, social factors such as role overload, role conflict, low socioeconomic status, and lower functional capacity among female patients than males [13].

The findings herein hold important implications for depression treatment. The M-HART and ENRICHD trials of cardiac patients showed trends toward hazard and favored usual care, respectively, for women [35–37]. Given the greater burden of depression among women, clearly there is an urgent need to develop interventions shown to be safe and effective for this population. Recently, the COPES trial offering problem-solving therapy and/or pharmacotherapy and using a stepped-care approach showed promise in not only reducing depression, but also in counter-acting the poorer health outcomes associated with comorbid depression [38]. These positive effects in reducing depression were generalized to men and women. Most recently, the SUPRIM trial demonstrated that a

cognitive behavioral therapy intervention with stress management resulted in significantly lower fatal and non-fatal first recurrent events and significantly fewer recurrent myocardial infarctions in adjusted analyses when compared to controls [39]. Given the proven benefits of cardiac rehabilitation such as decrease in mortality and depression rates [40], health care providers should encourage both male and female cardiac patients to participate in these programs.

3.1. Limitations

Caution is warranted when interpreting these results. First, the overall quality of the evidence was rated as “low”, thus the results herein should be interpreted with caution. Second, the current study synthesized only studies published in English, which may limit the generalizability of these findings. Third, there was heterogeneity in the patient samples used in the included studies (e.g., post-myocardial infarction and revascularization patients). Fourth, most studies did not consider depression treatments (i.e., patients with effective treatment may not meet mood disorder criteria) or timing of depression in relation to their disease onset or hospitalization. Finally, multivariate analyses to adjust for potential confounding variables such as severity of depression, and receipt and type of depression treatment were not considered in any studies identified through the thorough search of the literature.

3.2. Conclusions

This meta-analysis demonstrated that, similar to the general population, the prevalence of major depression is approximately two-times greater in women with CAD compared to men. The prevalence of comorbid depression was high, even among men. Given the poorer prognosis associated with comorbid major depression in CAD, the findings emphasize the need to devote more attention to identify and address potential factors that could account for gender differences in depression.

Contributors

SS contributed to writing the paper, initiating the study, designing the study, screening titles, abstracts and articles, analyzing the data, and assessing the methodological quality of individual studies and the overall quality of the evidence. KLR contributed to writing the paper, screening articles, and assessing the methodological quality of individual studies and the overall quality of the evidence. AHK contributed to writing the paper. DES contributed to writing the paper. SLG (the guarantor) and contributed to writing the paper, initiating the study, and monitoring progress.

Competing interest

None.

Funding

Ms. Shanmugashegaram is supported in her graduate studies by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Frederick Banting and Charles Best Canada Graduate Scholarship–Doctoral Award. In addition, Dr. Grace is supported by CIHR salary award #MSH-80489. CIHR played no role in study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to submit the paper for publication. It accepts no responsibility for the contents.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, externally peer reviewed.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of University Health Network Library information specialists Ms. Amy Faulkner and Ms. Rouhi Fazelzad in conducting the electronic database searches. We would also like to express gratitude to Dr. Elie Akl for his feedback on earlier versions of this manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2012.09.005>.

References

- [1] World Health Organization. Cardiovascular disease [accessed September 2011].
- [2] Cardiac Care Network. The Ontario cardiac rehabilitation pilot project: report and recommendations; 2002.
- [3] Barth J, Schumacher M, Herrmann-Lingen C. Depression as a risk factor for mortality in patients with coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2004;66:802–13.
- [4] Lett HS, Blumenthal JA, Babyak MA, Strauman TJ, Robins C, Sherwood A. Social support and coronary heart disease: epidemiologic evidence and implications for treatment. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2005;67:869–78.
- [5] Lesperance F, Frasure-Smith N, Talajic M, Bourassa MG. Five-year risk of cardiac mortality in relation to initial severity and one-year changes in depression symptoms after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2002;105:1049–53.
- [6] Blazer DG, Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Swartz MS. The prevalence and distribution of major depression in a national community sample: the national comorbidity survey. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 1994;151:979–86.
- [7] Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Cassidy MM, Gilliland YE. Effects of cardiac rehabilitation and exercise training programs in women with depression. *American Journal of Cardiology* 1999;83:1480–3.
- [8] Thombs BD, Bass EB, Ford DE, et al. Prevalence of depression in survivors of acute myocardial infarction. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2006;21:30–8.
- [9] Piccinelli M, Wilkinson G. Gender differences in depression: critical review. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2000;177:486–92.
- [10] Nolen-Hoeksema S, Larson J, Grayson C. Explaining the gender difference in depressive symptoms. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 1999;77:1061–72.
- [11] Kornstein S. Gender differences in depression: implications for treatment. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 1997;58:12–8.
- [12] Frasure-Smith N, Lesperance F, Juneau M, Talajic M, Bourassa MG. Gender, depression, and one-year prognosis after myocardial infarction. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 1999;61:26–37.
- [13] Naqvi TZ, Naqvi SSA, Merz CNB. Gender differences in the link between depression and cardiovascular disease. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2005;67:S15–8.
- [14] Castelli WP. Cardiovascular disease in women. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1988;6:1553–60.
- [15] Lichtman JH, Bigger JTJ, Blumenthal JA, et al. Depression and coronary heart disease: recommendations for screening, referral, and treatment: a science advisory from the American Heart Association Prevention Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, and Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research: endorsed by the American Psychiatric Association. *Circulation* 2008;118:1768–75.
- [16] American Psychiatric Association Task Force on DSM-IV. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-IV. 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
- [17] Canadian Association of Cardiac Rehabilitation. Canadian guidelines for cardiac rehabilitation and cardiovascular disease prevention: translating knowledge into action. 3rd ed. Winnipeg, MB: Canadian Association of Cardiac Rehabilitation; 2009.
- [18] ProQuest. RefWorks – COS; 2001.
- [19] Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomised studies in meta-analyses. Available at: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.htm [accessed 15.03.10].
- [20] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336:924–6.
- [21] Brozek J, Oxman A, Schünemann H. GRADEpro 3.2 for Windows; 2008.
- [22] Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre. The Cochrane collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan) 5.0; 2008.
- [23] Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *Education and Debate* 2003;327:557–60.

- [24] First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams J. Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders – patient edition (SCID-I/P, version 2.0). New York ed. Biometrics Research Department, New York State Psychiatric Institute; 1996.
- [25] Sullivan MD, LaCroix AZ, Baum C, Grothaus LC, Katon WJ. Functional status in coronary artery disease: a one-year prospective study of the role of anxiety and depression. *American Journal of Medicine* 1997;103:348–56.
- [26] Williams JBW. A structured interview guide for the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1988;45:742–7.
- [27] Freedland KE, Skala JA, Carney RM, et al. The Depression Interview and Structured Hamilton (DISH): rationale, development, characteristics, and clinical validity. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2002;64:897–905.
- [28] Carney RM, Rich MW, Tevelde A, Saini J, Clark K, Jaffe AS. Major depressive disorder in coronary artery disease. *American Journal of Cardiology* 1987;60:1273–5.
- [29] Albus C, De Backer G, Bages N, et al. Psychosocial factors in coronary heart disease – scientific evidence and recommendations for clinical practice. *Gesundheitswesen* 2005;67:1–8.
- [30] Carney RM, Blumenthal JA, Catellier D, et al. Depression as a risk factor for mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *American Journal of Cardiology* 2003;92:1277–81.
- [31] Thombs BD, de Jonge P, Coyne JC, et al. Depression screening and patient outcomes in cardiovascular care: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2008;300:2161–71.
- [32] Hackett ML, Yappa C, Parag V, Anderson CS. Frequency of depression after stroke: a systematic review of observational studies. *Stroke* 2005;36:1330–40.
- [33] Daryani A, Berglund L, Andersson A, Kocturk T, Becker W, Vessby B. Risk factors for coronary heart disease among immigrant women from Iran and Turkey, compared to women of Swedish ethnicity. *Ethnicity and Disease* 2005;15:213–20.
- [34] Miller S, Lo C, Gagliese L, et al. Patterns of depression in cancer patients: an indirect test of gender-specific vulnerabilities to depression. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2011;46:767–74.
- [35] Frasure-Smith N, Lesperance F, Prince RH, et al. Randomised trial of home-based psychosocial nursing intervention for patients recovering from myocardial infarction. *Lancet* 1997;350:473–9.
- [36] Berkman LF, Blumenthal J, Burg M, et al. Effects of treating depression and low perceived social support on clinical events after myocardial infarction: the Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease Patients (ENRICHD) Randomized Trial. *JAMA* 2003;289:3106–16.
- [37] Carney RM, Blumenthal JA, Freedland KE, et al. Depression and late mortality after myocardial infarction in the Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease (ENRICHD) study. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2004;66:466–74.
- [38] Davidson KW, Kupfer DJ, Bigger JT, et al. Assessment and treatment of depression in patients with cardiovascular disease: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Working Group Report. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2006;68:645–50.
- [39] Gulliksson M, Burell G, Vessby B, Lundin L, Toss H, Svärdsudd K. Randomized controlled trial of cognitive behavioral therapy vs standard treatment to prevent recurrent cardiovascular events in patients with coronary heart disease: secondary prevention in Uppsala primary health care project (SUPRIM). *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2011;171:134–40.
- [40] Lavie CJ, Milani RV, O'Keefe JH, Lavie TJ. Impact of exercise training on psychological risk factors. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases* 2011;53:464–70.
- [41] Connerney I, Shapiro PA, McLaughlin JS, Bagiella E, Sloan RP. Relation between depression after coronary artery bypass surgery and 12-month outcome: a prospective study. *Lancet* 2001;358:1766–71.
- [42] Lesperance F, Frasure-Smith N, Theroux P, Irwin M. The association between major depression and levels of soluble intercellular adhesion molecule 1, interleukin-6, and c-reactive protein in patients with recent acute coronary syndromes. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 2004;161:271–7.
- [43] Mitchell RHB, Robertson E, Harvey PJ, et al. Sex differences in depression after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *American Heart Journal* 2005;150:1017–25.
- [44] Pedersen SS, Smith ORF, De Vries J, Appels A, Denollet J. Course of anxiety symptoms over an 18-month period in exhausted patients post percutaneous coronary intervention. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2008;70:349–55.
- [45] Swardfager W, Herrmann N, Dowlati Y, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase activation and depressive symptoms in patients with coronary artery disease. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2009;34:1560–6.
- [46] Todaro JF, Shen BJ, Niaura R, Tilkemeier PL. Prevalence of depressive disorders in men and women enrolled in cardiac rehabilitation. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation* 2005;25:71–7.